UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,376	03/10/2004	Christoph Richter	2002P15599US	4466
7590 10/10/2008 SIEMENS CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT.			EXAMINER	
			EASTMAN, AARON ROBERT	
170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH ISELIN, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3745	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/797,376	RICHTER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Aaron R. Eastman	3745		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 /</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers	awn from consideration.			
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination. 	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/797,376 Page 2

Art Unit: 3745

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 5,474,421 (Rossmann hereinafter) in view of USP 4,386,887 (Ortolano hereinafter).
- 3. In re claim 1 Rossmann discloses a blade row of a turbo-machine, comprising: a blade (2, 3) having a root (2', 3'), a center region, a tip a leading edge and a trailing edge, the blades arranged circumferentially adjacent to each other to form a row; a shroud plate (8, 8') arranged at each blade tip, the shroud plate (8, 8') adapted to inhibit untwisting of the blades (2, 3), the shroud plates (8, 8') further including a saw-tooth-shaped contact region (18, 18') such that adjacent shroud plates (8, 8') are attached one inside the other thereby restricting blade movement about a center of axis of rotation.
- 4. Rossmann does not disclose a support element (70) arranged between adjacent blades located approximately in the blade center region and coupling the adjacent blades.
- 5. Ortolano teaches a support element arranged between adjacent blades and coupling the adjacent blades (Fig.'s 5 and 6).

Application/Control Number: 10/797,376

Art Unit: 3745

6. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Rossmann by adding a support element arranged between adjacent blades located approximately in the blade center region and coupling the adjacent blades as taught in Ortolano for the purposes of further restricting unwanted blade twist.

Page 3

- 7. In re claims 2, 3 and 5-13 the Rossmann modification in re claim 1 discloses all of the limitations.
- 8. In re claims 4 and 14 the Rossmann modification in re claim 1 discloses all of the limitations (see col. 6 lines 20-23 of Rossmann).
- 9. In re claims 15, 16 and 18 the Rossmann modification in re claim 1 discloses all of the structural limitations and inherently discloses the method steps recited. In re claims 115-18 the Rossmann modification in re claim does not explicitly disclose that the assembly method of the blade row requires the method steps as claimed. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to assemble the blade row of the Rossmann modification in re claim 1 by "assembling a first rotating blade on a turbine rotor;

assembling a second rotating blade on the turbine rotor so the first rotating blade and second rotating blade are adjacent;

installing a support element between the first rotating blade and the second rotating blade, the support element located approximately in the blade center region; coupling the first rotating blade to the second rotating blade; and providing blade shrouds located on the tips of the blades, each blade shroud including a saw-tooth-

Art Unit: 3745

shaped contact region such that adjacent blade shrouds are attached one inside the other thereby restricting blade movement about a center of axis of rotation" since it is one of a finite number of identifiable assembly methods which results in the structure of the Rossmann modification in re claim 1 as a predictable solution with a reasonable expectation of success.

- 10. In re claim 16 the Rossmann modification in re claim 1 discloses all of the limitations.
- 11. In re claim 17 the Rossmann modification in re claim 1 discloses all of the limitations (see col. 6 lines 20-23 of Rossmann).
- 12. In re claim 18 the Rossmann modification in re claim 1 discloses all of the limitations.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron R. Eastman whose telephone number is (571)270-3132. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 9:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,376 Page 5

Art Unit: 3745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Aaron R. Eastman/ Examiner, Art Unit 3745

/Edward K. Look/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3745